



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

**CRM-M No.54765 of 2025
Date of decision : 26.11.2025
Date of uploading : 27.11.2025**

Ravi Kumar**Petitioner**
Versus
State of Haryana**Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Sunil Hooda, Advocate, for
Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 26.9.2025, the following order was passed:

'Learned counsel contends that the petitioner, who is a poor farmer, has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is no direct evidence to substantiate the allegation of theft against him and even in the complaint, there is no mention of inspection report, seizure memo, photographic evidence, or any independent witness to support the allegation of tampering as well. No notice has been issued to him. Otherwise, he is ready and willing to compound the offence and join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. B.S.Saroha, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 09.10.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate



*with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.
Adjourned to 26.11.2025.'*

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 26.9.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
3. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 26.9.2025. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 26.9.2025, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

26.11.2025
Ashwanii