



CRM-M-54282-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

285

CRM-M-54282-2025
Decided on:- 30.10.2025

Sarvan Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Sandeep S. Majithia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayuwan Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Sakshi Khera, Advocate with
Mr. Arpil Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

* * * * *

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner Sarvan Kumar has filed petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 169 dated 02.09.2025 (Annexure P-1) under Section 64(1), 89, 123, 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station NIT, Faridabad.

2. As per the facts of case, prosecutrix 'K' filed written complaint alleging that her father had already expired. She was having widow mother and a brother. In order to earn livelihood, on 05.05.2024 she joined gym of accused as a trainer. Accused Sarvan was staying in said gym. After about 2-3 months, Sarvan told her that he was to finish his accounts work, therefore, she should go home late. She stayed back. She did not know about the intention of accused. He offered her juice and after



CRM-M-54282-2025

-2-

consuming, she became unconscious. When she regained her senses, she found herself naked and realized that accused had committed rape upon her. She protested and wanted to take action, however, he threatened her by showing her obscene video and photographs. He threatened to upload the same on social media. Therefore, she did not take any action. Later on, he started exploiting her time and again. On 07.12.2024, he took her to a hotel and raped her against her wishes. During this period, she became pregnant. He started pressurizing her to go for abortion. He started threatening her and her family members. On 18.02.2025, he gave her abortion pills. Since her health deteriorated, she was taken to a nursing home at Sector-23, Faridabad and finally, abortion was done at Zenith Hospital, Ballabhgarh. Finally, matter was reported to police.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that all allegations levelled against petitioner are false. Their relationship was consensual. Petitioner has relied upon photographs (Annexure P-2) and Whatsapp chat (Annexure P-3). Victim had given her consent for abortion. Record of Zenith Hospital (P) Limited is Annexure P-4 and her consent is Annexure P-5. Petitioner is still ready to abide by terms of bail order and will fully cooperate with Investigating Agency.

4. Learned counsel representing State filed status report. As per status report, after investigation cancellation report has been prepared which is yet to be presented in Court. Learned State counsel did not object to anticipatory bail petition filed by petitioner.

5. Ms. Sakshi Khera, Advocate and Mr. April Sharma, Advocate appeared on behalf of complainant and filed their joint Power of Attorney.

Learned counsel opposed anticipatory bail petition filed by petitioner. It is



CRM-M-54282-2025

-3-

pointed out that prosecutrix was sexually exploited and threatened. There are specific allegations against petitioner. Investigating Agency has joined hands with petitioner.

6. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. As per status report filed today, cancellation report prepared in this case is Annexure R-3. The fate of cancellation report will be decided by the Court concerned. Complainant will also get opportunity to present her version. She can always avail legal remedy available to her. As for now, considering the status report according to which cancellation report is being filed, petitioner is not required for further investigation. In the light of aforesaid factual position, without expressing my mind on merits of case, anticipatory bail petition filed by petitioner is allowed. He be not arrested. In case of his arrest, he be released on bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer concerned, subject to condition that petitioner will join investigation as and when required. He will not tamper with or interfere with investigation and will not leave country without prior permission as provided under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of accordingly.

30.10.2025

lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No