



CRM-M-54325-2025

-1-

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-54325-2025

Date of Decision: 07.11.2025

AJAD ALIAS AZAD

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Mr. Vineet Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vishal Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr V. K. Pandey, Advocate for the complainant.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

This petition for grant of anticipatory bail has been filed by petitioner, in case bearing FIR No. 253 dated 07.09.2023 registered against him at Police Station Hassanpur, District Palwal under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452 and 506 IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on).

2. Learned State counsel has filed the status report by way of affidavit of Surender Singh H.P.S., Deputy Superintendent of Police, Hodal, District Palwal, wherein in para 15 thereof, it has been admitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and as also that the weapon of offence .i.e., *lathi* used by him (P) was got recovered. During the course of submissions, it has been further pointed out that the nature of the injury suffered by the injured on his hand and head is still un-determined on account of non-availability of the Radiologist. It also needs to be pointed out that the case pertains to the year 2023.



CRM-M-54325-2025

-2-

3. Learned counsel appearing for the complainant has opposed the confirmation of the interim anticipatory bail on the ground that the nature of injury suffered by the injured is yet to be ascertained.

4. Heard.

5. Documents on record perused.

6. On the previous date of hearing, i.e., 24.09.2025, the following order was passed:

The petitioner, who is an accused in case FIR No. 253 dated 7.9.2023, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452, 506 of Indian Penal Code (Section 307 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Hassanpur, District Palwal, Haryana, has filed the present petition for grant of anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Unexplained delay of five days in lodging the FIR itself suggests that the facts have been twisted.

Further the fact that the allegations levelled against the co-accused that they had snatched the valuables from the family members of the complainant were also not found to be correct by the Investigating Officer. Continuing further, the learned counsel contends that assuming for the sake of arguments (though not admitted), even if the version of the complainant is taken to be true at its face value, no specific role has been attributed to the present petitioner. Learned counsel next contends that the similarly situated co-accused have since been granted the concession of bail and in this context, he has drawn the attention of this Court to the orders, Annexure P-4 to P-9, respectively. Learned counsel also submits that nonetheless, the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.



At this stage, Ms. Shweta Nahata, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts on behalf of the respondent-State and prays for time to file status report in the matter.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

7. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner has joined the investigation, interim bail granted vide order dated 24.09.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

8. The petition stands allowed.

9. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

07.11.2025
Sima

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>