



CRWP-10897-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

CRWP-10897-2024

Date of Decision: 09.09.2025

Brij Bhupinder Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Vivek Dahiya, Advocate for
Dr. Puneet Kaur Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Satjot Singh Chahal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents to protect the petitioner's life and liberty, as also that of his family members by providing adequate security cover/personal security officers.

2. Learned State counsel has referred to the affidavit dated 26.08.2025, filed by Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Shahkot, Jalandhar Rural, which is to the following effect:

5. That after a careful consideration of the Hon'ble Courts order and analysis all the threat perception reports, one security personnel has been deployed with the petitioner for his personal security, on purely temporary basis which is subject to periodical review as per the guidelines laid down in the State Security Policy-2013 and the established Standing Operating Procedure (SOP).



3. Apparently, adequate steps to protect the petitioner’s life and liberty have been taken by providing the personal security officer, after assessment of alleged threats to him and his family members.
4. Accordingly, nothing survives for adjudication, and the petition stands disposed of.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

09.09.2025
Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No