



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

136

CWP-28825-2025

Date of Decision: September 25, 2025

Sukhwinder Kaur

.....Petitioner (s)

Vs.

**Armed Forces Tribunal, Chandigarh
and others**

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMARI**

Present: Mr. Shivam Vashist, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Archana Vashisht, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Pathak, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondents No.2 to 4 – UOI.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed for quashing the impugned order dated 09.01.2025 (Annexure P1) passed by respondent No.1, wherein it was held that only the pensioner's widow is entitled to the family pension and the husband of the petitioner was not a pensioner.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed as the husband of the petitioner was not a pensioner so as to entitle the petitioner with family pension after his death.

3. Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

**(RAMESH KUMARI)
JUDGE**

September 25, 2025
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No