



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

LPA-2824-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.11.2025

KISHAN SINGH AND ORS

...Appellants

Versus

COMMISSIONER GURGAON DIVISION AND ORS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. Sukhandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the appellants.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

CM-7825-LPA-2025

Keeping in view the facts mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the appeal is taken on board for consideration.

LPA-2824-2024 (O&M)

1. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that all the facts could not be brought on record to support that the findings recorded by the learned Single Judge that Kanhaiya and Zora, who were the tenants under the appellants, hence the land under their possession has been allotted to the appellants, could be rebutted, therefore, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having not been pressed any further, with liberty to file a fresh one with better and correct particulars.



- 2. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.
- 3. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

November 17, 2025
harish

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No