



289

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RFA-4399-2019 (O&M) &
other connected appeals
Reserved on: 12.03.2025
Pronounced on: 27.03.2025**

**Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation
(now Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure
Development Corporation Limited)**

...Appellant

Vs.

Mehar Chand Mittal and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate
Mr. Deepak Saini, Advocate for HSIIDC.**

**Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate
Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate
for landowners in RFA-4399, 3222, 3249, 3344, 3491, 3647, 4175,
4176, 4222 and 4258 of 2019.**

**Mr. Shashi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the appellants in RFA-3670, 3671, of 2019 and
RFA-137 and 126 of 2020.**

**Mr. B.S. Tewatia, Advocate
for the appellants in RFA-3634-2019.**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

1. Prelude:-

**1.1 With the consent of learned counsel representing the parties, a
batch of 30 cross-appeals (15 appeals have been filed by the land owners,
whereas, 15 have been filed by the beneficiary of the acquisition), namely,
(Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited)**



HSI IDC, detail whereof is given at the foot of the judgment, shall stand disposed of by this common order.

1.2. The necessary and relevant details of the acquisition are as under:-

14.07.2010	Notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, was published to acquire 174 kanals 09 marlas land equivalent to 21 acres 06 kanals 09 marlas for construction of peripheral road of the Industrial Model Township, Faridabad.
13.07.2011	Declaration under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition was published.
15.04.2013	The land Acquisition Collector announced Award No.1 offering to pay Rs. 50 lacs per acre alongwith all statutory benefits.
28.05.2019	The Reference Court(RC) assessed the market value of the acquired land @ Rs.1,500/- per sq. yard equivalent to Rs. 72,60,000/- per acre.

2. **Brief Facts:-**

2.1 The land owners claim that the market value of the acquired land was not less than 20,000 per sq. yard. It has been asserted that there exists multi-storied buildings and industries like, JCB India Limited, Goodyear, Kohinoor Paints, M/s Alchem Industries, M/s Tekasseh India Limited have their plants installed and hence, the land in question has high potential value because of its location. Previously, the same village, namely, Sahupura was acquired for developing the area for Industrial Model Township, Faridabad and now, the acquisition is for construction of peripheral road of IMT.

2.2 HSI IDC claim that the Land Acquisition Collector has awarded just, fair, adequate and reasonable compensation.



2.3 In oral evidence, the Land owners examined the following
witnesses:-

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Names of the Witnesses</i>	<i>Brief deposition made by the witnesses</i>
<i>PW1</i>	Nanak Chand son of late Ami Chand	He is petitioner of petition bearing no. 51 of 2014. He has tendered in evidence affidavit Ex PW1/A and testified the contents of petition. He has exhibited documents Ex P1 to Ex P11. He deposed about the valuation, location and potential of the acquired land.
<i>PW2</i>	Man Mohan Goyal son of Pehlad Singh	He has tendered in evidence affidavit Ex. PW2/A. He has brought the summoned record relating to documents Ex. P4 to Ex.P8.
<i>PW3</i>	Mani Ram son of Sukhi Ram	He was the owner of land measuring 13 kanals 11 marlas situated in Village Sahupura. He entered into an agreement to sell with Shri Manmohan Goyal son of Pehlad Goyal. He proved agreement Ex P4, receipt Ex P5 and copy of plaint Ex P6 and copy of plaint filed for cancellation of agreement Ex P7.
<i>PW4</i>	Mehar Chand son of Fakir Chand	He is the petitioner of petition bearing no. 49 of 2014. He has tendered in evidence his affidavit Ex PW4/A and testified the contents of petition. He exhibited documents Ex P12 to Ex P20. He deposed about the valuation, location and potential of the acquired land.
<i>PW-5</i>	Bijender Singh son of Joginder Singh	He is petitioner of petition no. 71 of 2014. He has tendered in evidence affidavit Ex PW5/A and testified the contents of petition. He has exhibited sale deed Ex P21. He deposed about the valuation, location and potential of the acquired land.
<i>PW6</i>	Raj Pal son of late Shri Babu Ram	He is petitioner of petition no. 86 of 2014. He has tendered in evidence affidavit Ex PW6/A and testified the contents of his petition. He exhibited copies of sale deeds Ex P21 to Ex P34 . He deposed about the valuation, location and potential of the acquired land.
<i>PW7</i>	Ashok Kumar Draftsman DTP Office Sector 12 Faridabad	He has brought summoned record relating to draft development plan of the controlled area outside the municipal corporation, Faridabad, sanctioned by the Director Town and Country Planning, Haryana. He proved copy thereof as Ex P35. He also proved attested copy of revised circulation plan of Sector 66 to 74, Faridabad, Ex P36, approved by Director General Town and Country Planning Haryana.
<i>PW8</i>	Brij Mohan Vashishta, Advocate	He proved the execution of agreement Ex P4 dated 1.10.2008. He proved receipt Ex P5.
<i>PW9</i>	Jagjit Singh, patwari	He has brought the summoned record relating to



	Halqa Payala	aksh sijra of Village Sahupura had bastis no.74 Ex P37 prepared in the year 1953-54.
PW10	Rajesh Clerk from the office of District Town Planner, Sector 12 Faridabad.	He has brought the attested copy of lay out plan of residential/commercial and institutional Sector 62 and Sector 65. He proved it as Ex P38 and Ex P39.

2.4 The land owners also relied upon the following documents:-

Table No.3

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Description of documents</i>
Ex P1	Certified copy of jamabandi for the year 2008-2009 of Village Sahupura
Ex P2	Certified copy of rapat rojnamcha dated 2009-2010 dated 2.8.2010
Ex P3	Certified copy of award no.1 dated 15.4.2013
Ex P4	Certified copy of agreement to sell dated 1.10.2008 in respect of 13 kanals 11 marlas land, Village Sahupura
Ex P5	Certified copy of receipt dated 1.10.2008
Ex P6	Certified copy of plaint of case titled Man Mohan Versus Babu and others
Ex P7	Certified copy of plaint of case titled babu and others Versus Manmohan Gupta
Ex P8	Certified copy of written statement filed in case titled Babu and others Versus Manmohan Gupta
Ex P9	Certified copy of special power of attorney dated 30.6.2015
Ex P10	Certified copy of special power of attorney dated 30.6.2015
Ex P11	Certified copy of site plan of Village Sahupura
Ex P12	Certified copy of sale deed no. 8078 dated 17.12.2009
Ex P13	Certified copy of Circle Sale for the year 2010-2011
Ex P14	Certified copy of circle rate for the year 2011-2012, 2012- 2013, Tehsil Ballabgarh, HUDA, Sector
Ex P15	Certified copy of circle rate for the year 2011-2012, 2012- 2013, Tehsil Ballabgarh, HUDA, Sector
Ex P16	Certified copy of allotment letter bearing memo no. A-621- 30307, plot no.260, Sector 62 dated 9.9.2010
Ex P17	Certified copy of allotment letter bearing memo no. 28342/ 13.7.2007, plot no.2404, Sector 65
Ex P18	Certified copy of letter no. 11457/25.2.2008 regarding payment of enhanced compensation of plot no.2404/65 to Lalit Bansal
Ex P19	Certified copy of letter no. 44982/23.11.2010 regarding payment of enhanced compensation of plot no.2404/65 to Lalit Bansal
Ex P20	Certified copy of letter of allotment of plot no.266, ref. no. HSIIDC



	2013013996/23.8.2013
Ex P21	Certified copy of sale deed no. 8078 dated 17.12.2009
Ex P22	Certified copy of sale deed no. 7795 dated 24.9.2008
Ex P23	Certified copy of sale deed no. 8379/7.10.2008
Ex P24	Certified copy of sale deed no. 7677/8.12.2009
Ex P25	Certified copy of sale deed no. 988 dated 28.4.2010
Ex P26	Certified copy of sale deed no. 7318 dated 27.11.2009
Ex P27	Certified copy of sale deed no. 10146/11.2.2010
Ex P28	Certified copy of sale deed no. 9969 dated 5.2.2010
Ex P29	Certified copy of sale deed no. 400 dated 15.4.2010
Ex P30	Certified copy of sale deed no. 7462 dated 15.9.2008
Ex P31	Certified copy of sale deed no. 8453 dated 24.12.2009
Ex P32	Certified copy of sale deed no. 4289 dated 24.8.2009
Ex P33	Certified copy of sale deed no. 8028 dated 17.12.2009
Ex P34	Certified copy of sale deed no. 2991 dated 18.6.2010
Ex P35	Certified copy of lay out plan Haryana Gazettee Govt. extra blue print
Ex P36	Certified copy of lay out plan Haryana Gazettee Govt. extra blue print
Ex P37	Aks sizra village Sahupura HB no.74 year 1953-54
Ex P38 & Ex P39	Lay out plans
Ex P40	Certified copy of order dated 3.9.2014 passed by Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High court in RFA no. 2075 of 2012
Ex P41	Certified copy of judgment dated 16.9.2015 passed by Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in RFA no. 7108 of 2012 titled Ram Pal and others Versus LAC and another

2.5 HSIIDC examined RW1-Parshotam Kumar and produced the following documents:-

Table No.5

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Description of documents</i>
Ex R1	Certified copy of affidavit and cross of Purushotam Kumar, Manager, HSIIDC, certified copy of proceedings and Divisional Level Committee meeting
Ex R2	Certified copy of progress of annuity disbursement
Ex R3	Copy of collector rate list year 2009-2010
Ex R4	Copy of sale deed bearing no. 7389 dated 30.11.2009
Ex R5	Copy of sale deed bearing no. 7528 dated 4.12.2009
Ex R6	Copy of sale deed bearing no. 10511 dated 22.2.2010



Ex R7	Copy of sale deed bearing no. 12450 dated 31.3.2010
Ex R8	Copy of sale deed bearing no. 2947 dated 17.6.2010
Ex R9	Copy of sale deed bearing no. 5515 dated 19.8.2010
Ex R10	Copy of sale deed bearing no. 5682 dated 23.8.2010
Ex R11	Copy of sale deed no. 5733 dated 23.8.2010
Ex R12	Copy of Sale deed no. 11728 dated 12.1.2011
Ex R13	Copy of sale deed no. 11731 dated 12.1.2011
Ex R14	Copy of sale deed no. 11781 dated 13.1.2011
Ex R15	Sale deed no.13263 dated 15.2.2011
Ex R16	Sale deed no. 13266 dated 15.2.2011
Ex R17	Sale deed no. 15207 dated 17.3.2011
Ex R18	Sale deed no.15208 dated 17.3.2011

2.6. In rebuttal evidence, the land owners produced the following documents:-

Table No. 6

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Description of documents</i>
Ex P42	Certified copy of execution petition titled Manmohan Gupta Versus Babu and others bearing no.506 of 2017
Ex P43	Certified copy of order dated 16.12.2017 passed by Shri Sandeep Kumar, the then learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Faridabad in execution petition titled Manmohan Gupta Versus Babu and others bearing no.506 of 2017
Ex P44	Certified copy of order dated 28.11.2017 passed by Shri Sandeep Kumar, the then learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Faridabad in execution petition titled Manmohan Gupta Versus Babu and others bearing no.506 of 2017
Ex P45	Certified copy of judgment dated 26.5.2017 passed by Shri Sandeep Kumar, the then learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Faridabad in case titled Manmohan Gupta Versus Babu and others bearing no. 236 of 2011
Ex P46	Copy of decree sheet dated 26.5.2017 passed by Shri Sandeep Kumar, the then learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Faridabad in case titled Manmohan Gupta Versus Babu and others bearing no. 236 of 2011



Ex P47	Certified copy of judgment dated 26.5.2017 passed by Shri Sandeep Kumar, the then learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Faridabad in case titled Babu and others Versus Manmohan bearing no. 119 of 5.6.2009.
Ex P48	Copy of decree sheet dated 26.5.2017 passed by Shri Sandeep Kumar, the then learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Faridabad in case titled Babu and others Versus Manmohan bearing no. 119 of 5.6.2009.

2.7 The RC found that sale instance Ex. P12/21/33 (one and the same document) is with respect to the plot measuring 591 sq. yard sold @ Rs.3,130/- on 17.12.2009. Per acre price of this parcel of land works out to Rs. 1,51,49,200/-. Similarly, Ex. P-34, sale deed dated 18.06.2010, is with respect to plot measuring 340 sq. yard sold for Rs.9,31,500/- (per acre price would be Rs.1,30,68,000/-per acre). The Court considered that all the sale deeds are relevant pieces of evidence.

2.8 The RC after finding that sale instance Ex.P-12/20/33 is relevant to determine the price of the acquired land. This plot has been sold @ Rs.3,000/- per sq. yard. Subsequently, 50% reduction on account of Rehabilitation Policy launched by the Government of Haryana and towards development charges was applied by the RC.

3. **Arguments Addressed:-**

3.1 Learned counsel representing the land-owners has submitted that the acquired land touches the boundary of Municipal Corporation Limits of Faridabad and had a very high potential for commercial purpose. He submitted that the land has been acquired in order to construct peripheral road to connect Industrial Model Township, Faridabad with Delhi, Agra, Mumbai-Highway.



He submitted that for developing Sectors 62, 64 and 65 the land of adjoining villages Chandawali, Machhgar, Unchagaon was acquired vide notification dated 12.05.1995. The Court assessed the market value @ Rs.450/- per sq. yard. Subsequently, additional land in village Chandawali for completing Sector 64 was acquired vide notification dated 12.03.1996. The High Court assessed the market value @ Rs. 490/- per sq. yard. Similarly, on 16.08.1995, the land was acquired in villages Sihi, Mujri and Nimika for establishing thermal bases gas power plant of NTPC (National Thermal Power Corporation). He submitted that the RC has erred in applying development/infrastructure cut @ 50%. He also relies upon the agreement to sell Ex. P-4 with respect to 13 kanals 14 marlas land executed by Sh. Babu Lal, Sh. Sohan Lal and others in favour of Sh. Manmohan Goyal for a consideration of Rs.1,47,00,000/- per acre. Subsequently, Sh. Babu Ram and others filed suit seeking declaration of failure to perform agreement to sell, in which, the Court ordered refund of Rs. 25,00,000/- lacs, the amount of earnest money alongwith interest @ 9%. Hence, it is proved that the market value of the acquired land in the year 2008 was Rs.1,785/- per sq.yard.

3.2 *Per contra*, learned State counsel has submitted that the sale instance Ex. 12/21/33 is with respect to very small parcel of land measuring 591 sq. yard. He submits that the sale instances produced by the State have not been taken into consideration.

4. Discussion and Analysis:-



4.1 Heard the learned counsel representing the parties at length and with their able assistance perused the paper-book alongwith the requisitioned record.

4.2 At this stage, a tabulated compilation of the sale deeds produced by both the parties as prepared by the RC is extracted as under:-

Table no.7

Sr. No.	Exhibits	Sale deed No.	Area	Sale consideration(Rs.)	Per Sq. yard (Rs.)
		Date		Rate per acre(Rs.)	
1.	Ex. P12	8078	591 sq. yards Sahupura	1,850,000/-	3130/-
		17.12.2009		1,15,49,200/-	
2	Ex.P21	8078	591 sq. yards Sahupura	1,850,000/-	3130/-
		17.12.2009		1,15,49,200/-	
3.	Ex.P21A	4698	325 sq. yards Sahupura	812.500/-	2500/-
		17.7.2008		1,21,000/-	
4.	Ex.P22	10511	323 sq. yards Sahupura	8,07,500/-	2500/-
		24.9.2008		1,21,000/-	
5.	Ex.P23	8379	287 sq. yards Sahupura	7,17,500/-	2500/-
		7.10.2008		1,21,000/-	
6.	Ex.24	7677	463 sq. yards Sahupura	12,50,000/-	2699/-
		8.12.2009		1,30,66,954/-	
7.	Ex.25	988	300 sq. yards Sahupura	8,10,000/-	2700/-
		28.04.2010		1,30,68,000/-	
8.	Ex P26	7318	312 sq. yards Sahupura	780,000/-	2500/-
		27.11.2009		1,21,00,000/-	
9.	Ex P27	10146	300 sq. yards Sahupura	820,000/-	2733/-
		11.2.2010		1,32,29,333/-	
10.	Ex P28	9969	408 sq. yards Sahupura	1,050,000/-	2573/-
		5.2.2010		1,24,53,000/-	
11.	Ex P29	400	573 sq. yards Sahupura	1,547,500/-	2700/-
		15.4.2010		1,30,68,000/-	



12.	Ex P30	7462	334 sq. yards Sahupura	835,000/-	2500/-
		15.9.2008		1,21,00,000	
13.	Ex P31	8453	625 sq.yards	1,552,500/-	2500/-
		24.12.2009		1,21,00,000	
14.	Ex P32	4289	505 sq. yards	1,262,500/-	2500/-
		24.8.2009		1,21,00,000/-	
15.	Ex P33	8078	591 sq. yards Sahupura	1,850,000/-	3130/-
		17.12.2009		1,51,49,200/-	
16.	Ex P34	2991	345 sq. yards Sahupura	931,500/-	2700/-
		18.6.2010		1,30,68,000/-	

Table no.8

Sr.No.	Exhibits	Sale deed No.	Area	Sale consideration (₹)	Per sq. yard(₹)
		Date		Rate per acre(₹)	
1	Ex.R4	7389	1800 sq. yards Sahupura	7,87,500/-	436
		30.11.2009		21,10,240/-	
2	Ex.R5	7528	2400 sq. yards Sahupura	1,000,000/-	416
		4.12.2009		20,13,440/-	
3	Ex.R6	10511	1710 sq. yards Sahupura	7,12,500/-	416
		22.2.2010		20,13,440/-	
4	Ex.R7	12450	1050 sq. yards Sahupura	4,37,500/-	416
		31.3.2010		20,13,440/-	
5	Ex.R8	2947	1200 sq. yards Sahupura	5,50,000/-	458
		17.6.2010		22,16,720/-	
6	Ex.R9	5515	4020 sq. yards sahupura	2,303,500/-	573
		19.8.2010		27,73,320/-	
7	Ex.R10	5682	1275 sq. yards Sahupura	56500	443
		23.8.2010		21,44,120/-	
8	Ex.R11	5733	665 sq. yards Sahupura	3,05,000/-	462
		23.8.2010		22,36,080/-	
9.	Ex R12	11728	4620 sq. yards Sahupura	2,117,500/-	370
		12.1.2011		17,90,800/-	
10.	Ex R13	11731	4800 sq. yards Sahupura	1710000	364
		12.1.2011		17,61,760/-	
11.	Ex R14	11781	2250 sq yards Sahupura	1,750000	352
		13.1.2011		1,70,3,680/-	
12.	Ex R15	13263	1830 sq. yards Sahupura	9,00,000/-	459
		15.2.2011		22,21,560	



13.	Ex R16	13266	1500 sq. yards	700,000/-	466
		15.2.2011	Sahupura	22,55,440/-	
14.	Ex R17	15207	3150 sq. yards	9437500	299
		17.3.2011	Sahupura	14,47,160	
15.	Ex R18	15208	4800 sq yards	2,200,000/-	354
		17.3.2011	Sahupura	17,13,360	

4.3 During the Course of hearing, learned State counsel has produced a lay out plan identifying the location of the acquired land and marking the location of the various parcels of land sold through sale instances, which were produced in evidence. Perusal thereof proves that the land abutting Delhi, Agra, Mumbai six lane highway has been acquired. The land is in the shape of irregular triangle. Residential area of village Sahupura is towards south-west of the acquired land. The sale instance P-12/21/33 is with respect to 591 sq. yard. residential plot located in village Sahupura. The location of this parcel of land is at a distance of approximately 4-5 acres from the acquired land. This sale deed was executed on 17.12.2009, i.e. approximately seven months before the date of notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 which was on 14.07.2010. Whereas, the location of the sale deed produced by the State is at distance when compared to sale instance Ex. P-12/21/33. The sale instance Ex. R-4 and R-5 are located towards south-west of the residential area of the village. In other words, the residential area of village Sahupura is nearly 05 acres from the acquired land, whereas, the sale deeds Ex. R-4 and R-5 are further towards west of the acquired land and the distance between the acquired land and the parcels of land represented by Ex. R-4 and R-5 is not less than 12-13 acres. Similar is the position with regard to sale instance Ex. R-12, R-13, R-



17 and R-18. The location of parcels of land sold through Ex. P-29 is also at a distance of 06 acres from the acquired land. Through this sale instance, 573 sq. yard area was sold @ Rs. 2,700/- per sq. yard. Thus, it is evident that the acquired land had the potential of it being utilized as residential and commercial area, particularly, when it was abutting Municipal Corporation limits of Faridabad.

4.4 Now, the question for consideration is “what is the appropriate market value and whether the cut applied by the RC is justified or not”? Rehabilitation Policy adopted by the State for payment of the amount of annuity is a beneficial policy and it does not affect the assessment of the market value of the acquired land. The amount of annuity is given over and above the market value as assessed by the Court under part I of Section 23 (1) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. As far as the development charges, the land has been acquired for construction of a road. Hence, there is no justification in applying deduction because the acquired land was not to be used for carving out colony after carving streets and other common facilities. However, the sale instance Ex. P-12/21/33 is with respect to approximately 01 kanal land because the area of 01 kanal is 605 sq. yard. The acquired land measures 174 kanals 09 marlas. The sale instance P-12/21/33 is not with respect to small parcel of land. Hence, in order to equate the price, cut of 30% is applied. Per sq. yard price of sale deed Ex. P12/21/33 comes out to be Rs. 3,130/- on 17.12.2009. There shall be increase of @ 12% per annum for a period of 07 months.

5.

Decision:-
- 5.1

Hence, the market value of the acquired land comes to Rs. 2344.37/- per sq.yard i.e. Rs.1,13,44,960/- per acre, which shall be payable alongwith all statutory benefits as per amended the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.
- 5.2

Consequently, the appeals filed by the land owners shall stand allowed, whereas, that of the State shall stand dismissed.
- 5.3

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

27.03.2025
neeraj

Whether speaking/reasoned :

YesNo

Whether Reportable :

YesNo

Sr. No.	Case No.	Party's Name
1.	RFA-4400-2019	HSI IDC vs. Rajpal and Others
2.	RFA-4401-2019	HSI IDC vs. Kanwal Singh and Others
3.	RFA-4402-2019	HSI IDC vs. Raj Kumar and Others
4.	RFA-4403-2019	HSI IDC vs. Kashi Ram and Others
5.	RFA-4404-2019	HSI IDC vs. Babu Ram and Others
6.	RFA-4405-2019	HSI IDC vs. Babu and Others
7.	RFA-4406-2019	HSI IDC vs. Smt. Manorma and Others
8.	RFA-4407-2019	HSI IDC vs. Rajender Singh and Others
9.	RFA-4408-2019	HSI IDC vs. Smt. Brahamwati and Others
10.	RFA-4409-2019	HSI IDC vs. Bijender Singh and Others
11.	RFA-4410-2019	HSI IDC vs. Smt. Toshi Devi and Others



12.	RFA-4411-2019	HSI IDC vs. Ranvir Singh and Others
13.	RFA-4412-2019	HSI IDC vs. Smt. Suman Devi and Others
14.	RFA-3222-2019	Babu Ram (deceased through LRs and Ors. vs. State of Haryana and Others
15.	RFA-3249-2019	Smt. Brahamwati vs. The Land Acquisition Collector and Others
16.	RFA-3344-2019	Mehar Chand Mittal vs. State of Haryana and Ors.
17.	RFA-3491-2019	Smt. Toshi Devi and Anr. vs. State of Haryana and Ors.
18.	RFA-3634-2019	Prem Chand vs. State of Haryana and Ors.
19.	RFA-3647-2019	Kashi Ram and Ors. vs. State of Haryana and Ors.
20.	RFA-3670-2019	Bijender Singh and Ors. vs. State of Haryana and Others
21.	RFA-3671-2019	Ranvir Singh and Anr. vs. State of Haryana and Ors.
22.	RFA-4175-2019	Nanak Chand vs. State of Haryana and Ors.
23.	RFA-4176-2019	Smt. Suman Devi and Anr. vs. State of Haryana and Others
24.	RFA-4222-2019	Rajpal and Anr. vs. The Land Acquisition Collector and Others
25.	RFA-4258-2019	Smt. Manorma and Anr. vs. State of Haryana and Others
26.	RFA-4432-2019	HSI IDC vs. Nanak Chand and Others
27.	RFA-4437-2019	HSI IDC vs. Sumit and Others
28.	RFA-126-2020	Kanwal Singh (deceased) through his LRs vs. State of Haryana and Ors.
29.	RFA-137-2019	Sumit (since deceased) through his LRs vs. State of Haryana and Ors.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

27.03.2025
neeraj