



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-28762-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.11.2025

Vinay

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Pardeep Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar Singla, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 6.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari*, for quashing the impugned order dated 31.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) *qua* the petitioner, passed by respondent No.4 vide which the petitioner has been relieved/terminated without appreciating the provisions of the Haryana Contractual Employees (Security of Service) Act, 2024 (Annexure P-1) issued by the State of Haryana. Further prayer has been made to stay the operation of impugned order dated 31.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) *qua* the petitioner, during the pendency of the present writ petition.

2. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 6, at the outset, submits that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous as

2025.PHHC.150630



the grievance raised by the petitioner in the present petition has been substantially redressed and a speaking order, in compliance of the direction issued by this Court, has been passed and the petitioner has been granted protection of The Haryana Contractual Employees (Security of Service) Act, 2024.

3. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
4. The break of five months in the service of the petitioner shall be treated as period spent on duty for the purpose of continuity in service. However, the petitioner shall not be entitled to arrears of salary or any other consequential monetary benefits for the said period.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

03.11.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No