



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(114) RSA-2501-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 17.03.2025

Surinder Kaur ...Appellant

Versus

Jagjit Kaur and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Ms. Vaishali Kamboj, Advocate, for
Mr. R.S.Budhwar, Advocate, for the appellant.
...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. On the previous date, matter was adjourned on the request made on behalf of the appellant, stating that the dispute is between the mother and her daughters. Adjournment was sought to inform the Court as to whether any settlement has taken place.
2. Counsel for the appellant states that she does not have any instructions as she has not been able to contact the appellant.
3. It seems that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the instant appeal.
4. Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.
5. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, in case, anything worthwhile survives for consideration.
6. Pending applications are disposed off.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

17.03.2025
Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No