



203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-54179-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.11.2025

VINAY GARG

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. R.S. Rai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate and
Mr. Shailesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Akshay Bansal, Advocate
for complainant.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J.

1. Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case arising out of FIR No. 64 dated 05.07.2025 under Sections 85, 115(2), 351(2) and 190 Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Police Station Kotwali, Nabha District Patiala. Sections 126(2), 117(2) BNS have been added later on in the FIR during investigation. This is the first petition for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Sr. Advocate for the petitioner submits that petitioner and complainant were married in the year 2015 and two children were born out of the wedlock, a son aged 9 years and a daughter aged 02 years. Referring to the FIR, he submits that there were general allegations of harassment levelled against the petitioner and the FIR came to be registered only on 05.07.2025, when an altercation took place between brother of the

complainant and petitioner. During that incident, petitioner also suffered injuries besides complainant, her brother, and her mother. Subsequently, the police reached the spot and the entire incident was videographed. Complainant left the house and while going, took away all her jewellery, regarding which she also executed a receipt, copy of which was annexed as Annexure P-4. It was argued that in 10 years, there was no dispute between the husband and wife, nor there were any allegation of demand of dowry in the FIR. The main grievance of the complainant was that the petitioner did not allow her to leave the house. It is argued that pursuant to order of this Court dated 25.09.2025, petitioner had joined investigation.

3. Vide order dated 25.09.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail and was directed to join investigation.

4. On instructions from Investigating Officer, learned State counsel has submitted that petitioner has joined investigation.

5. Considering all relevant facts and circumstances, but without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 25.09.2025, granting interim anticipatory bail to petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

6. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

13.11.2025

Sumit Singla

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No