



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

119

CWP-29551-2025
Date of Decision: 04.11.2025

YAD RAM YADAV ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Arjun Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to release the payment of balance leave encashment of thirty-six days to the petitioner along with interest.

2. Learned State counsel has received instructions from the third respondent/Principal, Government College, vide memo dated 04.11.2025, which is retained on the case file as Annexure 'A'. Referring to the same, she contends that the benefit of leave encashment will be processed and released to the petitioner within three weeks.

3. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

04.11.2025

Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No