



CRM-M-54039-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-54039-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:27.11.2025

Bijender

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 24.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that no specific role has been ascribed to the petitioner, who is suffering from various ailments, medical of which has been appended as Annexure P-1. He was not even present at the spot at the time of alleged occurrence. The injury that is stated to be grievous in nature, has been attributed to co-accused, Sudhir, who is in custody. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case due to old enmity with Parvesh. Nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. B.S.Saroha, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Mr.

**CRM-M-54039-2025 (O&M) 2**

Siddarth Tanwar, Advocate, appears and files his power of attorney on behalf of the complainant, which is taken on record.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 08.10.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 27.11.2025.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 24.09.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

CRM-M-54039-2025 (O&M) 3

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

November 27, 2025
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No