

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

2025:PHHC:155646



CRM-M-54322-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.11.2025.

ROHIT PREET SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CRM-38919-2025

Application is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.85 dated 07.05.2025, under Section(s) 21 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, (Sections 21-C and 29 of the NDPS Act added later on), registered at Police Station

Chheharta, District Amritsar, Punjab.

2 Succinctly stated, the present FIR was registered on the disclosure statement of Gursahib Singh (arrested in FIR No.70 dated 17.09.2025, under Sections 21-B and 27-A of the NDPS Act) who stated that he was involved in the business of selling heroin by smuggling the same through Attari Border. Certain unknown individuals were also involved in the syndicate. On the basis of the said statement, the present petitioner was arrested. In the instant case no recovery has been effected from petitioner Rohit Preet Singh, however, 01 kilogram heroin was recovered from the possession of Gursahib Singh on 07.05.2025. Originally FIR was registered under Sections 21 of NDPS Act and Sections 21-C and 29 of NDPS Act were added vide DDR No.38 dated 09.05.2025.

3 Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner contends that as per the admitted case of the prosecution, co-accused Gursahib Singh had been arrested from whose possession, 01 kilogram heroin was recovered and the petitioner was nominated as an accused on the basis of the disclosure statement made by co-accused Gursahib Singh. He contends that no recovery has been effected from the possession of the petitioner and there is no evidence as would link the petitioner with the said co-accused. He further contends that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case and that he has been in custody from the date of his arrest i.e. 10.05.2025. He further contends that investigation in the case is not yet complete and conclusion of the trial will take long time, as such, the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail.

4 On the other hand, State counsel does not dispute that aforesaid

facts.

5 Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the facts noticed above; the age of the petitioner i.e. 20 years; lack of any recovery from the petitioner; absence of criminal antecedents; the period of custody undergone by the petitioner since the date of arrest i.e 10.05.2025 and that the trial is not likely to conclude soon, I deem it appropriate to allow the present petition. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

6 It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

7 The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

November 11, 2025.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No