



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
at Chandigarh**

105

CRM-M-54044-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 24.09.2025

Rahul Paswan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present:- Mr. C.S. Rana, Advocate,
Mr. V.K. Pujara, Advocate and
Ms. Komal Sohi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.198 dated 05.07.2025, registered under Sections 331(6), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 191(3), 190, 351(2), 351(3) and 324(4) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station Division No.5, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the complainant is harassing a girl and regarding this, earlier a complaint was made and compromise has been effected between the parties in the aforesaid complaint, but he (complainant) has not mend his ways. Learned counsel further contended that co-accused – Riya Goyal has been granted concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 29.08.2025 passed in CRM-M-48146-2025. The petitioner is having clean and clear antecedents



CRM-M-54044-2025 (O&M) (2)

and is not involved in any other criminal activity and ready to join investigation.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab, put appearance as advance copy of petition had been served to respondent-State. Learned State counsel submitted that the petitioner along with other co-accused entered into the house of the complainant in the night and caused grievous injuries to the complainant and a specific role is attributed to the petitioner i.e. he was armed with iron ‘daat’ and gave a ‘daat’ blow on the head of the complainant and required for custodial interrogation. Learned counsel prayed for dismissal of his bail petition.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; since, allegations against the present petitioner are serious in nature as he was armed with a deadly weapon and gave blow of ‘daat’ on the head of the complainant, after entering in the house of the complainant at night; the present petitioner is required for custodial interrogation for recovery of weapon of offence, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition, hence the same is hereby dismissed.

24.09.2025
Geeta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No