

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54392-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25-09-2025

Amit Rai

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Petitioner(s)

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:

Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner (through VC).

Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
1	1.1.2018	DLF, Gurugram	186, 332, 333, 353, 506 IPC

1. Seeking a direction to the trial Court to conclude the trial in the FIR captioned above, the petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 528 BNSS, 2023.
2. After arguing at length, counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied if a direction is issued to the State not to seek any adjournment during trial.
3. Notice served upon the official respondent(s) through State’s counsel.
4. State’s counsel, on instructions, submits that State is not interested in lingering on the matter, but it is interested in its disposal.
5. Given the undertaking of the State’s counsel, no further order is required to be passed, as such. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of and the State is requested not to seek unnecessary adjournment(s). All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

25-09-2025

AK

(ANOOP CHITKARA)

JUDGE

CRM-M-54392-2025

-2-

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO