



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CRWP-10352-2025  
Decided on: 23.09.2025

HIMANSHU AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Hemant Hans, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

|                           | Petitioner No. 1                         | Petitioner No. 2 |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Name(s)                   | Himanshu                                 | Vishal Kumar     |
| Age (in years)            | 23 years                                 | 23 years         |
| Date of marriage          | 19.09.2025                               |                  |
| Rite                      | Hindu                                    |                  |
| Represented on            | 19.09.2025 (Annexure P-5)                |                  |
| Submitted to              | Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula |                  |
| Family members arrayed as | Respondent Nos.5 to 8                    |                  |

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, Himanshu, was earlier forcefully engaged to respondent No.8,



Ankit, against her will and wishes by her parents and other family members, namely respondent Nos.5 to 7. Presently, she is married to petitioner No.2, Vishal Kumar, according to her free will and choice. Further, it is submitted that after the engagement of petitioner No.1 with respondent No.8, private respondent Nos.5 to 7 attacked petitioner No.2 and his family members at their residence. Consequently, FIR No.342 dated 29.07.2025 was registered under sections 115(2), 190, 191(3) of BNS and sections 3(1)(S), 3(2)(va) of the SC/ST Atrocities Act at Police Station Pinjore by petitioner No.2's father, Anil Kumar.

Following the registration of the aforesaid FIR, family members of petitioner No.1 allegedly pressurised her to file a false FIR of rape against petitioner No.2. Consequently, an FIR dated 29.07.2025 under sections 115, 190, 191(3), 351(3), and 64(2)(M) of BNS was lodged at Police Station Pinjore against petitioner No.2, who has since secured regular bail. Thereafter, on 19.09.2025, both the petitioners left their houses and performed marriage as per Hindu rites and customs. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 19.09.2025 (Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 – Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.
4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

**CRWP-10352-2025****3**

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, to look into the representation dated 19.09.2025 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

**23.09.2025**

Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO  
Whether Reportable: YES/NO