



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

CRWP-10335-2025

Date of Decision : 23.09.2025

SUKHPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER**...Petitioners****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY**

Present: Mr. Janak Singh Bhinder, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep K. Ghillour, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. Apprehending threat to their life and liberty, both the petitioners, who are major have filed the present petition with a prayer to direct the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major. They are emotionally attached to each other and hence solemnized marriage on 21.09.2025 at Gurudwara Sahib, Village Karor Kalan, in accordance with Sikh rights and ceremonies. Learned counsel has also referred to the marriage certificate dated 21.09.2025 (Annexure P-3) issued by Gurudwara Guru Nanak Niwas Sahib.

At this stage, learned counsel limits his prayer to direct the official respondents to decide their representation dated 22.09.2025 (Annexure P-4), in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. Mr. Sandeep K. Ghillour, Advocate has filed power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.4, which is taken on record. Learned counsel submits that parents of petitioner No.1 would have no objection, in case both the petitioners solemnize marriage, in their presence, in accordance with customary rites.

6. Heard.

When questioned, the details of the customary traditions could not be specified, rather learned counsel requests that SSP, Sangrur be directed to arrange a meeting between the petitioners with respondents No.4 & 5.

The request made by learned counsel for respondent No.4 is beyond realm of this petition.

7. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, to decide the representation dated 22.09.2025 (Annexure P-4) within a period of two weeks. In case, it is found that there is a genuine threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners, then necessary steps warranted under law be taken at the earliest, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not be taken to be any expression as regards the alleged marriage of the petitioners and shall not confer any immunity upon the petitioners, in case it is found that they have committed any wrong.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

23.09.2025

Nisha Yadav

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No