



**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10353-2025

Date of decision: 23.09.2025

ASHOK KUMAR AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Singh Kundra, Advocate for the petitioners.
(through video conferencing)

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the instant criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 to 5 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents i.e. respondent Nos.6 and 7.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners participated in tender of bid of godowns in District Fatehgarh Sahib, but private respondents i.e. respondent Nos.6 and 7 threatened and pressurised the petitioners to not to participate in that tender and wanted them to withdraw their bid. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners have moved a representation dated 11.09.2025 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.2, but no action has been taken so far.

3. Notice of motion.

**CRWP-10353-2025****-2-**

4. Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State and submitted that no specific allegation is levelled in the afore-stated representation or in the petition and only general allegations are there. Further, to pressurize the police department, the petitioners have filed the present petition.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the fact that the pleadings of the petitioners are specific that they have participated in a tender bid but respondent Nos.6 and 7 are not allowing them to continue with the process of getting the tender, respondent No.4 is directed to look into the representation dated 11.09.2025 (Annexure P-1) moved by petitioners to respondent No.2, copy of which had been sent to respondent No.4 (as per Annexure P-2) and to take action in accordance with law.

7. Disposed of accordingly.

September 23, 2025
manisha

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No