



106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28529-2025

Date of Decision: 26.09.2025

Pardeep Kumar

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate with
Ms. Pahulpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 15.09.2025 (Annexure P-4) qua the petitioner only, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Panchayat Samiti Amargarh, District Malerkotla to Panchayat Samiti Roopnagar.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Ramandeep Sidhu, Law Assistant, Panchayat Depot submits that since the petitioner is suffering from permanent locomotor Disability, he has been given option of three stations and the petitioner has already applied on portal and has given three options in this regard. Learned State counsel further submits that the claim of the petitioner shall be decided within a period of five days from today and he shall be granted one station, out of three options given by the petitioner.

3. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, nothing survives in the instant petition and the same is accordingly disposed of.

26.09.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No