

2025:PHHC:160828



208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53784-2025

Baljit Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

....Respondent

Date of decision: November 19, 2025

Date of Uploading: November 19, 2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Mansur Ali, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vaibhav Garg, Advocate and
Mr. Imran Ahmad Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate and
Ms. Shivaly Singla, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present second petition has been filed under Section 482 of the
Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of
concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0084 dated
24.10.2024, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468 & 471 of the IPC, 1860,
registered at Police Station Sector 49, Chandigarh.

2. On 01.10.2025, the following order was passed:

*“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.0084 dated 24.10.2024
registered for offences punishable under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471
IPC at Police Station Sector-49, Chandigarh; the petitioner has preferred
this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita,
2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.*

*Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner
has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question, the FIR in question*

essentially has civil overtones, the petitioner had purchased the flat from the complainant-Gurvinder Kaur after giving her Rs.90 lakhs way-back in the year 2011, whereas the FIR came to be registered in the year 2024 & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Adjourned to 15.10.2025.

Respondent as also the complainant are at liberty to file reply(s), if so deemed appropriate by them.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 04.10.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

2.1. Thereafter, on 15.10.2025, following order was passed:

“Learned Public Prosecutor, U.T., Chandigarh (on instructions from Sub Inspector Sanjay Dutt) submits that the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of interim order dated 01.10.2025, but he is further required for further interrogation.

In the interest of justice, the petitioner is directed to once again appear before the Investigating Officer on 17.10.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation.

Put up on 19.11.2025.

Interim order to continue.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions from Sub Inspector Sanjay Dutt) has stated that the petitioner has indeed joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature, and in case, the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all likelihood that he may abscond from the process of justice and interfere in the prosecution evidence.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record; especially in view of the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not being sought, the interim order dated 01.10.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the

conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Petition stands allowed, accordingly.
7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

November 19, 2025

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No