



225

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55887-2024(O&M)

Date of Decision:31.07.2025

Rajni

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate and
Mr. Pohul Preet Singh Tiwana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.M.S.Bajwa, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

CRM-44402-2024

1. The instant application has been filed under Rule 3-A (1) Chapter VI, Part-B, Vol. V of the Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders for exemption for filing without number on the Roll of Advocates.

2. Application is allowed, as prayed for.

CRM-M-55887-2024

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to her in case FIR No.222 dated 12.12.2023 registered under Sections 370(4), 363, 363-A and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Balongi, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR in the present case was registered on the basis of a secret information that Surinder Kaur and Darshana Rani were dealing in the business of kidnapping of children illegally and to sell them for the purpose of bagging. He further contends that the petitioner was neither named in the FIR nor any role was assigned to her.

Even the child, who was allegedly kidnapped, has been recovered and is at present staying with Swami Ganga Nand Bhuri Wale International Foundation Dham, Talwandi Khurd, Ludhiana. The petitioner was arrested on 25.08.2024 and is in custody for the last more than 11 months. Even, no witness has been examined so far by the trial Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and she does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, the petitioner has been nominated as an accused on the basis of the disclosure statement suffered by co-accused and the evidentiary value of such a statement is weak. The petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last more than 11 months and no purpose will be served by keeping her behind bars.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

31.07.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No