



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CRM-M-53880-2025

Jagbir Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

Date of Decision : 23.09.2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Mr. Deepinder Singh Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shweta Nahata, DAG, Haryana.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner, at whose behest criminal proceedings vide FIR No.217 dated 03.06.2025 under Sections 115,117(2),3(5) and 351(2) of BNS were registered at P.S Sonapat Sadar against Narender, Devender sons of Dharambir and Anuj son of Devender, all residents of village Guhna Moohana, Sonapat, has filed the present petition under Section 528 of BNSS, praying therein that the investigation of the aforesaid case be monitored by some senior police officer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the lodging of the aforesaid FIR, petitioner has been victimized and subjected to harassment at the hands of local police officials. Investigation is being conducted in a very shoddy manner. Despite the fact that in the assault unleashed by the accused party, the petitioner suffered multiple grievous injuries including a fracture, the local



police officials with a view to shield the accused opted to invoke only minor offences. Further despite the fact that aforesaid FIR was registered but surprisingly, the investigation was transferred to CIA staff, Sonapat for the reasons best known and rather than recording statements of injured witnesses, petitioner was asked to submit details of his bank accounts etc. Learned counsel submits that though the petitioner moved an application to the senior police officials to look into the matter but no heed was paid. Learned counsel, at this stage, restricts his prayer to direct respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 12.09.2025 (Annexure P.8) moved by the petitioner.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shweta Nahata, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4-State.

5. After considering the respective submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and the averments made in the petition, without commenting on the merits thereof, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and dispose of the representation dated 12.09.2025 (Annexure P.8), within a period of four weeks, in accordance with law in an expeditious manner. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioner as well.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

23.09.2025

manoj

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>