

2025:PHHC:173542



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

CRM-M-53856-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.12.2025

KHETU

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Yashvardhan Goyal, Advocate for
Mr. Ajay Ghangas, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ved Parkash, Senior DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CRM-38521-2025

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CRM-M-53856-2025

The instant first petition has been filed under Section 483 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 52 dated 12.02.2024, registered under Section(s) 186, 307, 332, 353 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Civil Line, District Sirsa.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner stands on identical footing with that of the co-accused-Sudarshan @ Sandeep who has already been extended the concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 17.09.2025 passed in CRM-M-51423-2025.

3. Learned State Counsel does not dispute the aforesaid facts and submits that although the allegations levelled against the petitioner were to the effect that he had fired 08 gun shots, however, there is no recovery of fire arm at the instance of the petitioner. It is also not in dispute that, in the given circumstances, the petitioner stands on the same footing as co-accused Sudarshan @ Sandeep, who has already been extended the concession of regular bail.

4. Having heard learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the parties and taking into consideration the period of custody already undergone by the petitioner, the stage of the trial as well as the fact that the co-accused of the petitioner has already been granted concession of regular bail, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

5. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

6. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

DECEMBER 11, 2025

Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No