



208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-56249-2024
Date of decision: 15.07.2025**

BABITA AND ANOTHER

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Laxman Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for the grant of regular bail to the petitioners in FIR No.116 dated 06.06.2023, under Sections 363, 366 of the IPC and Sections 6 and 17 of POCSO Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Rewari, District Rewari, Haryana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that both the petitioners are ladies of the age of 43 years and 24 years, respectively, and as per the allegations, they being sisters of the main accused, namely, Devender had come to the house of the complainant and allured the victim and thereafter, she was missing from the house. He further submitted that the basic allegations were against the brother of the petitioners and the alleged role of the petitioners



was with regard to alluring the daughter of the complainant in connivance with their brother. He further submitted that the investigation of the case has been completed and thereafter, challan has been presented before the competent Court and since the petitioners have already faced incarceration for 9 months and 28 days, therefore considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, they may be considered for the grant of regular bail.

3. On the other hand, Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana submitted that it is correct that both the petitioners, who are ladies are in custody for 9 months and 28 days and the investigation of the case has been completed. He further submitted that both the petitioners were named in the FIR with the allegations that they had allured the daughter of the complainant, who had ran away with their brother, namely, Devender.

4. I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

5. The custody of the petitioner is not in dispute and it is also not in dispute that both the petitioners being ladies are sisters of the main accused, namely, Devender and the allegations against them were that they being the sisters of the aforesaid main accused had allured the daughter of the complainant, who had allegedly ran away with their brother. This Court is of the considered view that since the investigation of the case has already been completed and the petitioners have already faced incarceration for 9 months and 28 days, it will be just and proper to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioners.

6. Consequently, the present petition is allowed. Both the petitioners shall be released on regular bail, if not required in any other case, subject to



furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and is meant for the purpose of deciding the present petition only.

15.07.2025
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No