



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-53935-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.12.2025

Tarlochan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Mohit Giri, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.191 dated 15.07.2025, registered under Sections 201, 217, 120-B IPC, 59 of NDPS Act and 7 of Prevention of Corruption Act, at Police Station Anti Narcotic Force, Jalandhar Range, Jalandhar.

2. On 24.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has unblemished service record of 36 years and there is a delay of 7 days in filing the FIR. The main allegations were levelled against the main co-accused Vinod Kumar Sharma, who has since been granted interim anticipatory bail by this Court 20.08.2025, Annexure P-3. The challan is presented only against the persons, who were named in FIR No.160 dated 07.09.2021 under NDPS Act and not against the complainant, who has stated that he was being unnecessarily roped in by the petitioner and co-accused for which alleged bribe was demanded is false. He is not involved in any other case. He on instruction states that he is ready to give his voice samples, if an opportunity is granted to him and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 08.10.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 15.10.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions from DSP Bikramjit Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 24.09.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

10.12.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No