



120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28604-2025

Date of decision: 24.09.2025

Veerpal Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. I.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Sonak, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the order dated 15.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) *qua* the petitioner vide which the petitioner has been ordered to be transferred at the fag end of her service.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner is working with respondent No.4- Nagar Council and she is due to retire on 31.07.2026. She has been transferred from Malout to Sri Muktsar Sahib which is at a distance of 60 kms from the place of her residence. The transfer policy (Annexure P-1) specifically provides that Government employee who is about to retire within the next two years, may be allowed to continue in the same station of posting till his/her retirement. The impugned order of transfer has been passed in complete violation of the Government transfer policy which protects the interest of a Government employee who is on the verge of retirement as to facilitate the preparation and sanction of his retiral

**CWP-28604-2025****-2-**

benefits. The petitioner has filed a representation (Annexure P-3) which remained unheeded.

3. Learned State counsel, appearing on advanced notice, submits that that the representation filed by the petitioner would be considered and a speaking order would be passed in a time bound manner.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the respondents are directed to consider the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording her an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by the respondents.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

24.09.2025*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No