

2025.PHHC.146602



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

338

**CRR No. 2410 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.10.2025**

Ranjana**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab****...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present:- Mr. Sumit Dua, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present revision petition has been filed against the judgment of conviction and order on quantum of sentence, both dated 03.12.2019, passed by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Jalandhar in criminal case arising out of the FIR No. 210 dated 12.07.2016, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC at Police Station Navi Baradari, Jalandhar, whereby the petitioner was held guilty for commission of offences punishable under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC and was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for maximum 02 years and 06 months with default clauses of fine; as well as against the judgment dated 21.08.2025, whereby the appeal of the petitioner had been dismissed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar.

2. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner has made a statement so as not to press the present revision against the judgment of conviction, passed by the trial Court, as well as the judgment passed by the appellate Court. Learned counsel confines his prayer against the order of sentence only. It is further submitted that petitioner is not the previous convict nor any other case is pending against her and

2025.PHHC.146602



so looking into these circumstances, the sentence of the petitioner may be reduced to the period already undergone by her.

3. Learned State Counsel has no serious objection to the aforesaid prayer. She has filed custody certificate, as per which, the petitioner has already undergone actual sentence of 11 months and 22 days out of total sentence of 02 years and 06 months as awarded by the trial Court and upheld by the appellate Court and no other case is pending against her.

4. After hearing the counsel for the parties, I uphold the judgments of conviction passed by the Courts below as the same are based on appreciation of prosecution evidence, proving guilt of the petitioner, however, considering the fact that the petitioner has faced the agony of protracted trial and she has already undergone actual sentence of 11 months and 22 days and is not shown to be involved in any other case subsequent to registration of the present FIR, which shows that she has improved her character and has joined the mainstream of the society, the order on quantum of sentence dated 03.12.2019 is modified to the extent that the same is reduced to the period already undergone by her. However, the fine imposed upon the petitioner is upheld.

5. The petitioner is directed to be released from custody forthwith on depositing fine as imposed by the trial Court.

6. Let a copy of this order be sent forthwith to Jail Superintendent as well as the Court concerned for compliance.

7. Since the main petition stands disposed of, pending application, if any, shall also be treated as disposed of.

29.10.2025

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE