

2025:PHHC:132598



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

**CRWP-10325-2025
Decided on:23.09.2025**

Gurjant Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bhardwaj

Present: Mr. Mikhail Kad, Advocate, and
Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent no.2 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, who are apprehending danger at the behest of respondent nos.4 & 5 and also for taking action on his complaint/representation dated 10.09.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no.1 is real elder brother of respondent no.4 and brother-in-law of respondent no.5 and petitioner no.2 is the old aged mother of respondent no.4 and mother-in-law of respondent no.5. It is submitted that due to bad behaviour of respondent no.4 towards his family members including his parents, father of petitioner no.2 and respondent no.4, namely, Sadha Singh disowned respondent nos.4 and 5 from his all movable and immovable properties and the petitioners as well have no relationship with them. However, both respondent no.4 and 5 always used to fight with the petitioners and respondent no.5 has given various false

2025:PHHC:132598



complaints against the petitioners on account of which the police officials call them up in the police station and make them sit there till the evening without any reason. He further submits that due to the influence of respondent no.5, the police officials are not taking any action on the complaint/representation dated 10.09.2025 (Annexure P-2), which they have moved before respondent no.2 for redressal of their grievances.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sangrur, to decide the representation (Annexure P-2) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

September 23, 2025
vinod*

(Rajesh Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: NO/YES
Whether Reportable: NO/YES