



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

295

CRM-M-55179-2024

Date of decision: 09.01.2025

Jayant Bhutani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Dr. Pankaj Nanhera, Advocate
for the petitioner.


Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepender Pratap, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Gautam, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.183 dated 31.07.2024 under Sections 115, 309(6), 333 and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station New Colony, district Gurugram, and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same including final report dated 07.09.2024, on the basis of compromise dated 26.10.2024 (Annexures P-4 and P-5) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Short status report by way of affidavit of Jitender, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Old Gurugram, on behalf of respondent No.1-State, has been filed in the Court today which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions. A copy of the same has been



CRM-M-55179-2024

-2-

supplied to the counsel opposite.

3. Vide order dated 07.11.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 05.12.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

4. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Gurugram, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainants have also made statements to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

5. The Trial Court has annexed copies of statements of the parties alongwith its report.

6. Learned State counsel too submits that there is no other accused other than the petitioner and respondents No.2 and 3 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

7. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Gurugram and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioner.

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

09.01.2025

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No