

2025PHC10400

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

262

CRM-M-55115-2024(O&M)

Date of Decision : November 10, 2025

SANDEEP MAGGO AND ORS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present : Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Navreet Kaur Barnala, AAG, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer in the present petition under Section 528 of BNNS 2023 is for issuance of directions to respondent No.4 not to harass or call the petitioners unnecessarily in the office with respect to the complaint made by respondent No.5 in connivance with respondent No.6 (Annexure P-1) as on the basis of an earlier complaint FIR No.181 dated 08.12.2016, under Sections 380 IPC, 420, 406 IPC (added later on) at Police Station Maqsudan, District Jalandhar has been registered, which has been cancelled.

2. A request has been made on behalf of the petitioners for an adjournment.

3. The learned State counsel, on the other hand, while referring to the reply dated 09.12.2024 states that respondent No.5-Kewal Krishan has filed a complaint levelling false allegations against the petitioners of forgery etc. The earlier complaint leading to the registration of the FIR has

undoubtedly ended in a submission of cancellation report, however, with respect to the subsequent complaint, if the presence of the petitioners is required, they will have to be summoned in accordance with law.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. A perusal of the reply dated 09.12.2024 would show that multiple complaints have been filed. Paras No.5 and 6 of the reply are reproduced hereinunder:-

“5. Kewal Krishan filed a complaint bearing no. 4170-PTOO dated 24.09.2024 with the main allegations that the petitioners have forged the signatures of respondent no. 5 & 6 on one Rent Deed dated September 2015, attested notarized on 22.09.2015. He further levelled allegation that then the accused submitted the said Rent Deed to the J & K Bank, G.T. Road, Adda Basti, Jalandhar for opening a bank account and also in Excise Department by forging their signature, for the purpose of getting VAT number. The above said documents were collected by him through RTI Act, from wherein he came to know about the forgery of the said documents. The said complaint is being enquired by Assistant Commissioner of Police/ Headquarter, Jalandhar under the supervision of answering deponent.

6. That so it is abundantly clear that the matter involved in the aforementioned complaints bearing no. 720-PTM dated 12.04.2023, 2581-PTOO dated 19.07.2024 and complaint bearing no. 4170-PTOO dated 24.09.2024 is altogether different. The allegations leveled in first complaints bearing no. 720-PTM and 2581-PTOO are not in consonance with the allegations raised in complaint no. 4170-PTOO dated 24.09.2024 and the earlier complaints are not directly or substantially related with the complaint pending under inquiry. The police authorities have not conducted multiple enquiry into

the matter involved in the complaint no. 4170-PTO0 dated 24.09.2024.”

6. In view of the averments made in the aforesaid reply, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to summon the petitioners for enquiry/investigation only in accordance with law.

7. All pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

November 10, 2025
ajaysharma

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No