



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.125**

**TA-1419-2024**

**Date of Decision: 08.04.2025**

**PRIYANSHI ALIAS PRIANSHY**

**....Applicant**

**Versus**

**SONU SINGLA**

**.....Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI**

Present:- Mr. Satvir Singh, Advocate  
for the applicant.

Respondent proceeded against *ex parte*  
vide order dated 21.03.2025.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ARCHANA PURI, J. (Oral)**

At this stage, the counsel for the applicant submits that as observed in the order dated 21.03.2025, he was to apprise the Court about the staunts of the complaint filed by the applicant, to Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur. He submits that as per the talk of compromise earlier going on, the said complaint was withdrawn by the applicant. However, the compromise did not materialise and the parties stand, where they were standing at the time of filing of the transfer application.

Upon notice, the respondent did not make appearance, despite service and as such, was proceeded against *ex parte*.

The counsel for the applicant heard.

The applicant-wife has filed the present application for seeking transfer of the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act i.e. HMA/152/2024, titled '*Sonu Singla Vs. Priyanshi*', filed by the respondent-husband, pending in the Family Court (Camp Court) Budhlada, District



TA-1419-2024

Mansa and she seeks transfer of the same to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Dhuri, District Sangrur.

It is submitted by the counsel for the applicant that the marriage between the parties to the lis, had taken place on 04.12.2022. One son born from the said wedlock, who is about 1 year old, is in the care and custody of the applicant. Also, it is submitted that the applicant is not having any source of earning and is totally dependent upon her parental family. Earlier, the applicant had filed one complaint before Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur. However, under the cover of an attempt for making amicable settlement between the parties, the said complaint was withdrawn. Later on, the respondent did not agree for the amicable settlement. In these circumstances, it is submitted that it is difficult for the applicant to commute a distance of about 80 kilometres, to defend the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, filed by the respondent.

In view of the submissions aforesaid, considering the position of law about preference given to the convenience of the wife in the transfer applications relating to the matrimonial disputes, more particularly, when the applicant is not having any source of earning and also taking care of 1 year old child and also considering the fact about the respondent having not come forward to resist the application, the transfer application is allowed and the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act i.e. HMA/152/2024, titled '*Sonu Singla Vs. Priyanshi*', filed by the respondent-husband, stands transferred from the Family Court (Camp Court) Budhlada, District Mansa, to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Dhuri, District Sangrur. The requisite record of the aforesaid case be sent by the Family Court (Camp Court) Budhlada, to the District and Sessions Judge, Sangrur.



Learned District and Sessions Judge, Sangrur, shall assign the said petition to the Family Court (Camp Court) Dhuri. Even, the parties are directed to appear before the Family Court (Camp Court) Dhuri, within a period of one month from today onwards.

08.04.2025  
Himanshu

(ARCHANA PURI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No