

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

ESA No.220 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Order:23.01.2025

Bikramjit Singh and others

.Appellants

Versus

Bhajan Singh and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Satyam Arora, Advocate, for
Mr. C.M.Munjal, Advocate
for the appellants.**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, JUDGE (Oral)

CM-17924-C-2018

1. For the reasons stated in the application which is supported by an affidavit, the application for bringing on record the legal representatives of deceased late Sh. Buta Singh[appellant no.3] mentioned in paragraph 2 of the application, is allowed, subject to all the just exceptions.

2. The office is directed to carry out the necessary corrections in the memorandum of parties.

3. CM stands disposed of.

MAIN

3. The appellants before this court are the third party objectors to the execution of the decree for possession in favour of the respondent-Bhajan Singh . The appellants claim to be vendee from Sh. Dayal Singh, who was vendor of the decree holders, however, the appellants have failed to produce any document to prove their title.

4. Consequently, both the courts have dismissed the appellants'

objection petition.

5. The learned counsel representing the appellants submits that the third party objection could not be decided without culling out the issues and granting the appellants an opportunity to lead evidence.

6. This court has considered the submissions of the learned counsel representing the appellants.

7. Before the court culls out the issues and grants opportunity, the appellants have to *prima facie* show a semblance of title in their favour a which they failed.

8. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, no ground to interfere is made out.

9. Dismissed.

10. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

January 23, 2025
nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No