



CRM-M-55424-2024
Date of decision: 09.01.2025

...PETITIONERS

...RESPONDENTS

Mr. Gurdeep Inder Singh Dhillon, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 21.10.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
92	19.11.2017	295, 323, 34 of IPC	Naya Gaon, District SAS Nagar.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit 21.10.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kharar, SAS Nagar, vide report



CRM-M-55424-2024

2

dated 03.01.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

4. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kharar, SAS Nagar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 92 dated 19.11.2017 under Sections 295, 323, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Naya Gaon, District SAS Nagar, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

09.01.2025
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |