



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-28265-2025
Date of decision: 22.09.2025**

State Bank of India

....Petitioner.

Versus

**District Magistrate, Sangrur
and others**

....Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY, JUDGE**

**Present:- Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate and
Mr. Ajay Singh Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.**

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Senior DAG, Punjab.

.....

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed by petitioner/Bank aggrieved by non-execution of the order dated 21.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Additional District Magistrate, Sangrur, under the provisions of Section 14 Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (SARFAESI Act for brevity).

2. It is rather surprising that the Additional District Magistrate, Sangrur, has failed to discharge their statutory duty of assisting and handing over physical possession of the secured asset to the petitioner Bank.

2.1 Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) are a huge burden on the public exchequer, banking and financial system, and, thus, prompt



enforcement of recovery mechanism under the SARFAESI Act is paramount for liquidity in the system.

3. In view of the above, this Court by way of writ of mandamus directs the Additional District Magistrate, Sangrur, to execute the order dated 21.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) passed under Section 14 of SARFAESI Act by handing over physical possession of the secured asset to the petitioner/Bank as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of 30 days. The petitioner/Bank, thereafter, can proceed to adopt all possible legitimate means to liquidate the secured asset to recover the due amount.

4. The petition for the time being stands disposed of in the terms aforesaid. Needless to say that the guidelines laid down by Coordinate Bench in *Bank of Maharashtra Vs. District Magistrate, Hisar And Others* [CWP-7018-2022 decided on 28.05.2024] be adhered to by the concerned authorities.

5. We hasten to add that this order shall however be subject to any restraint/ interim/ final order which may have been passed by any judicial forum, in favour of the borrowers/ guarantor/ any aggrieved person, who is party to this *lis*.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

22.09.2025

preeti

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No