



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-53329-2025
Date of decision: 21.11.2025

HARMANJOT SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Chandanpreet Kaur Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Jindal, AAG, Punjab.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Present petition under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.67 dated 01.05.2025, under Section 109 BNS and 25 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Ghuman, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur.
2. The present case was registered on the basis of statement given to the police by Sukhdeep Singh with the allegations that he is running a shop near Gurdwara Baba Namdev Gate. On 01.05.2025, at about 8:00 pm, when he along with his son was closing the shop, when three unknown persons came there on a motorcycle. Two pillion riders fired towards the shop, which hit the glass window of the shop and thereafter, they all ran away from the spot. During investigation, one Amandeep Singh was arrested on 09.05.2025 and on interrogation he suffered disclosure statement to the effect that he had committed the offence in question and Daljit Singh and Sandeep Singh were also accompanying him. Thereafter,



- 2-

Daljit Singh was arrested and he suffered disclosure statement that one Sumandeep Singh was also involved with them and said Sumandeep Singh was also nominated as an accused. Thereafter, said Sumandeep Singh was arrested and he suffered disclosure statement to the effect that petitioner-Harmanjot Singh had provided the information about the persons having good business and had done surveillance and thereafter, present petitioner was nominated as an accused and arrested on 23.05.2025. In all, 10 accused were arrested and after completion of investigation, challan has been presented for trial.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that petitioner has been falsely implicated. The only allegation against the petitioner is that he had supplied the information about the people having good business and he was not involved in the incident of firing. He has been nominated as an accused on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Sumandeep Singh, which is not admissible in evidence. Petitioner is in custody since 23.05.2025. Learned counsel next contended that final report/challan has already been presented after completion of investigation and the trial is likely to take a long time to conclude and further detention of the petitioner is not required and he may be released on bail.

6. On the other hand, learned State Counsel has opposed the bail and argued that in view of the gravity of the offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

7. Admittedly, the petitioner had not participated in the incident of

firing. No one has suffered any injury and shots were aimed at the window glass and not at the victim, even as per the allegations in the FIR. Petitioner has been nominated as an accused by co-accused Sumandeep Singh and the only allegation against him is that he had conducted surveillance and supplied information about the complainant. As to how much evidentiary value will be attached to the disclosure statement of the co-accused and the petitioner’s own disclosure statement shall be the subject matter of trial. Petitioner is in custody since 23.05.2025. Trial is likely to take a long time to conclude and further detention of the petitioner is thus not required and he deserves to be released on bail.

8. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything upon the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

21.11.2025
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No