

**CRM-M-55623-2024****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****220(ii)****CRM-M-55623-2024****Date of Decision: 10.09.2025****SONU****... PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA****... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL****Present:-** Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Masta, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Arun K. Singal, Advocate for the complainant.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed for grant of regular bail under Section 483 of the BNSS in case FIR No. 252 dated 11.06.2024 under Sections 420,406,120-B IPC registered at Police Station, City Gohana, District Sonapat.
2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner introduced the complainant with co-accused Babli who told her that their company was dealing in the business of almonds and cashews and assured that if money is invested in their company, the same shall be doubled and amount shall be reconciled every six months. Thereafter, the complainant had made huge investments which was later embezzled by the accused.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been named in the FIR and no specific role has been attributed to him. The petitioner happens to be the husband of co-accused namely Suman. He further submits that no money was entrusted to him and he is falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner is in custody since 28.08.2024.

4. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner in Court today and the same is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for last 01 year and 12 days.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties and he has no objection if bail is granted to the petitioner.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the above and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for last 01 year and 12 days and the matter has been comprised between the parties; the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.



CRM-M-55623-2024

-3-

8. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the applicant is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

10.09.2025

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No