



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
at Chandigarh**

110 CRM-M-53319-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 13.10.2025

Jasveer Kaur ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present:- Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Robindeep Singh Bhullar, Advocate with
Mr. Akaljoat Singh Brar, Advocate for the complainant.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.80 dated 04.03.2022, registered under Sections 420 and 120-B of IPC at Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has joined the investigation in the present case as per order of learned Additional Sessions Judge, however, nothing has been recovered from her and she is ready to pay the amount which was received from the complainant and prayed for acceptance of the present petition.
3. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposed the prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner. He has filed reply by way of affidavit of



CRM-M-53319-2025 (O&M)

(2)

Sh. Tarlochan Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Faridkot, District Faridkot and the same is taken on record. Learned State counsel submitted that the petitioner along with other co-accused formed a gang to cheat the innocent persons on the pretext of marrying her daughter to get the PR in Canada and she is involved in multiple cases, and one of her case i.e. SLP(Crl.) No.7349-2023 has been dismissed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court vide order dated 19.06.2023. Learned State counsel further submitted that daughter of the petitioner is already married and photographs etc. of the same are on the judicial file. He has submitted that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation and the allegations against the present petitioner are serious in nature as she has cheated the complainant on the pretext of marrying her daughter with the son of the complainant and has received a heavy amount from him, knowingly that her daughter is already married. On similar promises, she has cheated other persons as well, and other FIRs are also registered against her on that account. Thus, learned State counsel prayed for dismissal of her bail application.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the allegations levelled against the petitioner and the fact that she is not cooperating with the investigating agency and is required for custodial interrogation and also the fact that she has committed offences of similar nature and FIRs have been registered against the petitioner in this regard, this Court finds no merit in the present petition. Petitioner is not entitled for the discretionary relief of anticipatory bail, hence, the present petition stands dismissed.



CRM-M-53319-2025 (O&M) (3)

6. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case lest it may prejudice the trial.

13.10.2025
Geeta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No