



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110**LPA-2866-2025****Date of Decision: 23.09.2025****Meenakshi Devi****....Appellant****Versus****State of Haryana and others****....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Gourave Bhayyia Gilhotra, Advocate
Mr. Akash Manocha, Advocate
Mr. Vishwajeet Singh, Advocate for the appellant.

Ms. Anu Pal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Jagdish Singh Mahal, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the order dated 29.08.2025 passed in CRWP-9491-2025 titled as *Meenakshi Devi vs. State of Haryana and others*, by the learned Single Judge wherein, direction has been given to the Superintendent of Police, Ambala to look into the complaint made by the appellant qua alleged detention of her daughter namely Samaira Thakur by respondents No.4 to 7 i.e. the father from the custody of the appellant.

2. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have interacted with each other and have arrived at an amicable solution.

3. Learned counsels for the parties submit that as per the wishes of the parties, the decree of divorce and the grant of the custody of minor



daughter remains unaffected.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant-Meenakshi Devi submits that the appellant has no objection in case the daughter wants to live with her father and the appellant will support the same but, she should be given unhindered visitation rights qua the daughter.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.4 submits that father of the child namely Samaira Thakur submits that the mother can visit the child with due information and there will be no hindrance caused in the said visitation.

6. The parties have also agreed that the petition filed by the respondent No.4 i.e. the father seeking custody of the minor child will not be pressed in view of the mutual agreement between the parties and the same will be withdrawn at the earliest.

7. Learned counsel for the appellant further submits that keeping in view of the above agreement between the parties, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

9. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

September 23, 2025

Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No