

2025.PHHC.016604



218.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55086-2024

Date of decision: 04.02.2025

Harbans Lal and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate, for
Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, with ASI Rakesh Kumar.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking the concession of anticipatory bail, under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, in case FIR No.132, dated 19.10.2024, under Sections 333, 115(2), 191(2), 190, 351(2) of BNS, registered at Police Station Sri Anandpur Sahib, District Rupnagar.

2. While issuing notice of motion on 07.11.2024, following submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners were recorded:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that actually no such incident has taken place, in fact it was complainant party who hurled abuses under the influence of liquor to the petitioner and his family members and later on, by admitting himself in the hospital lodged the present FIR. He further contends that it is a case of no injury and lodging of the FIR would tantamount to abuse to process of law as there is no iota of truth in the allegations.”

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 10.12.2024, while recording the following submissions of learned State counsel, the petitioners were granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation:-

“Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rakesh Kumar, submits that it is a case of no injury and has not disputed the submissions made by the counsel opposite that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioners.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of order dated 10.12.2024, the petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioners are not required for further investigation much less for their custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 10.12.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) BNSS.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

February 04, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No