



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-53560-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.09.2025

Tekchand

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Sakshi Khera, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
(through V.C.).

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, AAG Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CRM-38278-2025

Application is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Main case:

1. The instant third petition has been filed under Section 483 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in FIR bearing No.93 dated 23.03.2022, registered under Section 148, 149, 302, 307, 427, 452, 506, 120-B (Sections 212, 201 added later on) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sadar Palwal, District Palwal.

2. Briefly summarized, the facts of the present case are that as per the FIR, a telephonic information was received at the Police Station that Yashvir son of Rajesh resident of village Deeghot had been admitted in Sarvodaya Hospital, Sector-8, Faridabad, on account of injuries sustained by



205

CRM-M-53560-2025 (O&M)

gun-shot. The complaint was moved by Aakash-brother of Yashvir, stating therein that his family had enmity with the accused Surrender, Govind, Yogesh and Anand since last many years. They had been threatened with elimination. In furtherance to the said threat, the said accused had given money to petitioner-accused/Tek Chand, Neeraj and Jagga, who are gangsters, to kill the complainant party. On receipt of the money, 3-4 unknown assailants were sent to kill the complainant party at about 12:00 Noon on 22.03.2022 at *Nohra* of the complainant party. The accused persons broke the door and fired indiscriminately on Yashvir, Sonu, Sarfaraj and Jaivir, resulting into death of Yashvir.

3. Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been in custody since 03.08.2022. She submits that a total of 25 persons, including the petitioner, were nominated as accused in the case in hand and out of them, 16 have already been granted the concession of regular bail. It is submitted that only 10 out of the total 36 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far and out of them 05 witnesses, including the complainant-brother of the deceased, have not supported the case of the prosecution and they have resiled from having named the petitioner and any other person. She contends that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is a contract killer and made arrangements for elimination of Yashvir (since deceased). She submits that the petitioner is involved in as many as 40 other cases including similar offences.

5. Taking into consideration the gravity of offence and



205 CRM-M-53560-2025 (O&M)

considering that the investigation of the Police has been concluded to the effect that the petitioner is a contract killer and makes arrangements for elimination of targets on money basis, it would be a rarity that direct evidence to show complicity of a gangster would be available. Considering the involvement of the petitioner in a large number of cases, I am of the opinion that the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail.

- 6. Faced with the above, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the instant petition.
- 7. The present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

26.09.2025
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No