

2025-PHHC-158775



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

207

CRM-M-53365-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 17.11.2025

AMRIK SINGH ALIAS BITTU

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. N.K.Verma, Advocate,
Mr. Ankush Verma, Advocate and
Ms. Kritika Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.B.S.Dhillon, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Jagdeep Singh Bajwa, Advocate, for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.188 dated 24.08.2025, registered at Police Station Maqboolpura, Amritsar, under Sections 108 and 3(5) BNS.
2. Status report by way of affidavit of the Assistant Commissioner of Police, East, Amritsar (additional charge), filed in the Court, is taken on record.
3. On 22.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that there is a delay of 13 days in lodging the FIR and the transaction stated to have been made was way-back in the year 2020. In the video also which has been circulated, name of the petitioner is not mentioned by the deceased. There is no allegation levelled which would have made his case fall within the definition of abetment as defined under Section 45 BNS, so as to constitute the offence under

Section 108 BNS. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. MS Bajwa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and Mr. GBS Dhillon, Advocate, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 01.10.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 17.11.2025.”

4. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

5. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ramesh Kumar, affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 22.09.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

17.11.2025

parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE