



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28184-2025

Date of Decision:22.09.2025

RBSK Employees Associations (NHM) Punjab and Ors. ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Mohinder Singh Joshi, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents to grant pay parity and all consequential service benefits- such as pay revision, retirement age, pay band and Grade-Pay to the petitioners and respondents No.3 to 296 from the date of appointment, who are serving as Ayurvedic Medical Officers (AMOS) under the Rashtriya Bal Swasthay Karyakaram (RBSK) within the National Health Mission (NHM), at par with Medical Officers (MBBS) serving under NHM.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation (Annexure P-10) to the Health & Family Welfare Minister and he shall be satisfied in case, appropriate directions are issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-10).

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1 and

Mr. Arshdeep Bhullar, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 by filing his Memo of Appearance, which is taken on record and have no serious objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, at this stage.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. At this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-10) submitted by the petitioners, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking and well reasoned order. In case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled to the relief claimed in the representation (Annexure P-10), consequential benefits may also be released to them, along with reasonable rate of interest.

7. Disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

22.09.2025
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No