



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

**CRM-M-53314-2025
Date of decision: 31.10.2025**

SUMIT SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Jasmine Gill, AAG, Haryana.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.09 dated 25.03.2025 registered under Sections 318(4) of BNS, 2023 (Section 420 of IPC) and later on added Section 61B of BNS, 2023 (Section 120-B of IPC) at Cyber Police Station, Mahendergarh, District Mahendergarh.

2. Status report by way of an affidavit dated 06.10.2025 of Suresh Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh filed on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. Brief facts of the prosecution case are that on 25.3.2025, Ramesh



- 2-

Kumar complainant submitted a complaint with cyber crime police with the allegations that his wife Suman Devi deals in sale of cattle feed. On 19.3.2024, she had uploaded an order of unrefined sugar on Just Dial through his mobile No.92155-82757. Several persons called them for sale of unrefined sugar and one call was received from mobile No.95822-96955 and he sent sample on whatsapp. Negotiations were held for supply of 10 tons of unrefined sugar for a sum of ₹ 2 lakh but the caller demanded 20% of the amount and asked them to deposit ₹ 40,000/- in bank account No.501039895291. Thereafter, he transferred ₹ 40,000/- in the said account from the account of his firm on 21.3.2024. The caller told them that the amount has not been deposited and has been received back in their account and the caller sent a whatsapp message for transferring money in Bank of Baroda A/c No.77500100006602, upon which, he transferred ₹ 40,000/- on 22.3.2024 from the account of his cousin maintained in Kotak Mahendra Bank and ₹ 35,000/- from ICICI Bank account of his relation. Thereafter, he demanded ₹ 50,000/- and one tax invoice was also sent to him. On getting suspicious about the invoice, he realized that some cheating has taken place and he sought action against the culprits. During investigation, the statement of account of bank account used in the crime was obtained which was in the name of one Anurag Kanojia resident of Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh. CDR and CAF ID detail of mobile number 9582296955 were also obtained which was in the name of one Muneshwar Singh. Accused Himanshu, Mangal Singh, Sumit- petitioner, Shivam, Anoop and one juvenile (s)/xxx were arrested. 10 mobile phones of different brands, 67 ATM cards of different banks, 09 SIM cards, cheque books of five different banks, pass books of two different banks and 100 visiting cards were



- 3-

recovered from the possession of petitioner- Sumit and co-accused and they were arrested. They suffered disclosure statements admitting their involvement in the present crime. After completion of investigation, challan has been presented.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner is in custody since 31.03.2025 and he is not involved in the any other offence. No amount was transferred in his account and the mobile phone which was used in committing the crime was in the name of one Muneshwar Singh who has been cited as witness. Learned counsel further contended that a sum of ₹ 30,000/- has been recovered by the police from his possession. Co-accused namely Mangal Singh has already been released on bail whose case is identical to that the petitioner. After completion of investigation, challan has been presented. The trial is likely to take sufficiently long time to conclude and in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioner is not required and he deserves to be released on bail.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner has committed a heinous crime in conspiracy with the remaining accused and victim has been cheated of a sum of ₹ 70,000/- and in view of gravity of offence, he does not deserve concession of bail.

6. Petitioner is in custody since 31.03.2025 and he is not involved in the any other offence. After completion of investigation, final report has been presented. All the offences are Magisterial Trial. Co-accused namely Mangal Singh has been released on bail whose case is identical to that the petitioner. The trial is likely to take sufficiently long time to conclude and in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioner is not required and he deserves to be released on bail.



7. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the bail application is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

31.10.2025
Ali

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No