



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

219

CRM-M-54953-2024 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 09.01.2025

SANDEEP SINGLA

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr.Nitin Sherwal, Advocate with
Mr. Satish Goel, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Aakash Singla, Advocate for the complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. Relief Sought

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) praying for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, in FIR No. 251 dated 27.09.2024 under sections 406, 420 of I.P.C., registered at Police Station Tripuri Patiala, District Patiala.

2. Prosecution story, set up in the present case as per the version in the FIR reads as under :-

‘At this hour, one application no. 386/FR+151/FR dated 12.03.2024, from Sunil Garg son of Satpal Singh resident of # 105 ward no. 5, Old Grain Market, Sunam, District Sangrur, presently residing at Sushma Crescent No. 1004, Type-C, Old Ambala Road, Dhakoli, Zirakpur M.No: 9814008090, for registration of case for offences under 406, 420 IPC against Paramjot Singh son of Ujagar Singh resident of House No. B-VI/328, Old Market, Barnala, presently residing at House No. 65, Aman Bagh Colony, Sirhind Bye-pass, Patiala and Sandeep



Singla son of Gora Lal Singla resident of Barnala, has been received through post via Hon'ble SSP Sahib Patiala, which reads as follows, To, The Hon'ble DIG, Patiala. Punjab Police, Patiala Subject:- Prayer to protect my life and liberty and to save my family from the hands of Paramjot Singh S/o Ujagar Singh R/o Patiala and Sandeep Pa Singla S/o Gora Lal R/o Barnala and some other unidentified persons who have threatened us and have committed fraud with us and embezzled a huge amount from us. Respected Sir, I, Sunil Garg S/o Late Sh. Sat Pal R/o House No. 105, Ward No. 5, Old Grain Market, Sunam hereby submits as under:-

- 1. That, I am resident of the above mentioned address.*
- 2. That, Sandeep Singla S/o Gora Lal Singla R/o of M/s Shanti Devi Arms and Ammunitions, Opposite Sadhu Sood Sweets, Sadar Bazaar, Barnala., Mobile No. 93175- 22635 is my cousin (Masi Da Beta). Around two year ago he introduced his partner to me at Barnala. He introduced his partner as Paramjot Singh Bedi S/o Ujjagar Singh R/o Patiala. Said Paramjot Singh told me that he lives at House No. 65, Aman Bagh Colony Patiala (Mobile No. 99158-43999) Sandeep Singla informed me that he along with Paramjot Singh and with some other persons are involved in the business of sale and purchase of Properties. They informed me that they all fetch a very handsome profit out of said sale - purchase of the properties. My Cousin Sandeep Singla told me that in case I am also interested to invest any amount, I may approach them.*
- 3. That, with the passage of time, said Paramjot Singh increased his friendship with me through his partner/ my cousin Sandeep Singla. Thereafter they both forced me to invest some money in their business of sale and purchase of properties. It come to my knowledge that similarly they have increased relations with Mr. Aanan Singal S/o Sh. Rakesh Singla R/o Gandhidham who is also our cousin (Dusri Masi Da Beta). They also allured him to invest in their business of sale and purchase of properties.*
- 4. That, said Sandeep Singla and Paramjot Singh influenced us to the extent that I along with Mr. Aanan Single arranged an amount of Rs. 1,87,00,000/- (Rupees One Crore*



Eighty Seven Lakh Only) and gave it to said Paramjot Singh and Sandeep Singla. 5. That, few days ago, I and Mr. Aanan Singla asked to said Sandeep Singla and Paramjot Singh about our money as well as its accrued profits, then both of them misbehaved with us and refused to return any amount and any profit. They further told us that we have confiscated the said amount. They threatened us that you may do whatsoever you want to do but we will not return any amount to you. 6. That, we got scared from the said behaviour of above accused Sandeep Singla and his Partner Paramjot Singh and we discussed the said matter with our common friends and relatives, then said accused persons threatened our relatives and friends also and warned them to stay away from it. 7. That, since said incident, the as and when we have approached them to return our money then they continuously threatened us and told us that you have to forget said amount otherwise your families shall also face the consequences. That, through this application/ complaint, you are hereby requested to give justice to us and further to protect me and my family from the hands of the said accused persons. Date:- 12-3-2024 Yours Faithfully, Sd/- Sunil Garg.'

3. Contentions

On behalf of the petitioner

Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the Panchayati Settlement dated 03.12.2024 attached with the application bearing No. CRM-49670-2024 stating that full and final settlement between the parties has taken place, therefore, prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

On behalf of the State and the complainant

Learned State Counsel appearing on advance notice on instructions from Investigating Officer and counsel for the complainant have no objection if the petition is allowed in view of the Panchayati



Settlement dated 03.12.2024.

4. **Analysis**

After given a thoughtful consideration to the submissions as made, by the counsel for both the parties particularly to the effect that the matter has been settled between the parties in view of the Panchayati Settlement dated 03.12.2024 and counsel for the complainant and counsel for the State have no objection if the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail, this Court finds no reason to deny the petitioner the concession of anticipatory bail, wherein the petitioner has bona fide intentions and is willing to join the investigation and cooperate for furtherance of the same so that the final report can be submitted by the Investigating Agency within the stipulated time period.

5. **Decision**

Hence, in view of the admitted set of circumstances before this Court, the petitioner is hereby directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to him joining investigation and reporting to the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS of which are reproduced below :-

‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;



- (ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- (iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;
- (iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.'

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stand cancelled.

The petition in the aforesaid terms stand allowed.

CRM-49670-2024

This application has been filed praying for grant of interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Since the main case has been decided, the instant application stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

09.01.2025
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No