



CWP-28142-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CWP-28142-2025

Date of Decision: 19.09.2025

Randhir Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate for the petitioner


TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the qualifying service rendered by the petitioner for the purpose of pension from the date of his appointment, and to pay revised pension and arrears of revised pension along with interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the law in this regard stands settled by this Court in CWP-22558-2016 titled *Suraj Parkash Bajaj v. State of Haryana and others*; CWP-16244-2020 titled *S.B. Dixit and others v. State of Haryana and another*; and LPA-587-2021 titled *State of Haryana and others v. Mohinder Kaur and others*. Still, the petitioner's claim has not been considered, nor has his legal notice, dated 21.07.2025, Annexure P-3, been decided so far.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State, and submits that the pending legal



notice, dated 21.07.2025, will be decided by the second respondent/Director, Higher Education, by passing a speaking order thereupon within a period of three months, keeping in view the law laid down in *Suraj Parkash Bajaj, S.B. Dixit* and *Mohinder Kaur* cases (*supra*).

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

19.09.2025
Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No