



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

298

CRM-M-53271-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 11.12.2025

GURPREET SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sukhbir Maandi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul K. Adia, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amarjeet Singh Prajapati, Advocate,
for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. On 22.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case registered against him vide FIR No.95 dated 21.06.2025 under Sections 127(2), 115(2), 125, 190, 191(3) BNS and Section 25 & 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 (later on added Sections 109 & Section 324(4) of BNS) at Police Station Raja Sansi, District Amritsar (Rural).

The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner has not been identified at the spot and is said to be a conspirator. Even otherwise, no injury has been attributed to any accused.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Adjourned to 20.11.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS:-

(i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;

(ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender his passport, if any.

Meanwhile, the State is directed to file an affidavit/reply as to the exact role of the petitioner and his co-accused alongwith the details of pending FIRs, if any, on or before the next date of hearing.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from Inspector Bagel Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 22.09.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

11.12.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No