



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

CRM-M-53036-2025

Date of Decision : 25.09.2025

BALA DEVI

.... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present : Mr.Raman Chawla, Advocate for
Mr. Mohit Pilania, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.0515 dated 27.06.2025 registered under Sections 308(2), 318(4), 61 of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Azad Nagar, Hisar, District Hisar.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is a 67 years old lady who is not involved in the present matter and is not required for custodial interrogation as the account of her daughter Sukhmilla has already been attached. Learned counsel further submitted that Section 308(2) of BNS is not made out against the present petitioner. Accordingly, prayer has been made for grant of concession of anticipatory bail.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana, accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State and opposed the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner by submitting that the present petitioner is required for custodial interrogation as a huge amount of Rs.51 lakhs has been extorted/



cheated from the complainant after selling his land who is a marginal farmer of two acres land and Rs.20 lakh is yet to be recovered.

5. Mr. J.S.Rathi, Advocate has filed his power of attorney on behalf of the complainant, which is taken on record. It is submitted that the petitioner has actively participated in the commission of offence and was present at the time of extorting money from the complainant and even the complainant also handed over Rs.8 lakh to the present petitioner and his son which is supposed to be given to her son-in-law for obtaining mutual divorce.

6. Heard.

7. Keeping in view the allegations and the manner in which the alleged offence has been committed; the role attributed to the present petitioner; and she is required for custodial interrogation for recovery of cheated amount, she is not entitled to discretionary relief of anticipatory bail.

8. Dismissed.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

25.09.2025

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No