



CWP-28147-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(121)

CWP-28147-2025

Date of Decision : 29.09.2025

Viyan Palliwal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

O.P. Jindal Global University,
Sonipat and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARIPresent: Mr. Rupam K. Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Sehaj Sandhawalia, Advocate
for respondent No.1.Mr. Bhupender Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana
for respondent No.3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, challenge is thrown to the orders dated 06.03.2025 (Annexures P-3 to P-5), whereby, the respondent No.1, has expelled the present petitioners.
2. This Court, vide order dated 19.09.2025, has passed the hereinafter extracted order upon the instant writ petition:-

“The Anti Ragging Committee constituted by respondent No. 1, passed the impugned order, whereby, the petitioners who were in the age group of 19 to 21 years have been expelled through an order dated 06.03.2025. Though, the Committee has granted liberty to file an appeal against the decision (supra), however, after lapse of about 5-6 months, the petitioners filed the instant petition before this Court.



Learned counsel for the petitioners draws the attention of this Court towards order dated 14.05.2025, as passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-20646-2025, wherethrough one FIR registered against the petitioner has been quashed on the basis of compromise, as effected on dated 04.04.2025. In the other criminal case, compromise was also got effected, however, subsequently the complainant resiled and the same is said to be pending. After giving the background of the matter, counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not want to join the issue on merits, rather only restricting his prayer for one chance to the petitioner- students to mend their ways. He further submits that academic career of the petitioners is at stake, and they are ready to accept the conditions for joining as may be imposed by respondent No. 1—University.

Notice of motion only at this stage to respondents No. 1, 3 and 4.

Mr. Bhupinder, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 3 and waives service.

Let notice be issued to respondents No.1 and 4 for 29.09.2025, subject to furnishing process fee.

Dasti as well.

To be shown in the urgent list.

It is made clear that, on the subsequent date of hearing, no request for adjournment would be entertained on behalf of either side.”

3. In pursuance to the order (supra), learned senior counsel for respondent No.1, has caused appearance today, and apprises this Court, that there are certain material facts, which have not been placed on record, and the same has a direct bearing on the issue involved in the instant case. He further submits that University Grants Commission (UGC), which is seized of the matter, has not been impleaded, as a necessary party in the instant petition, and by following the guidelines issued by the UGC, the impugned order has



CWP-28147-2025

been passed.

4. Faced with the above difficulty, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition, with liberty to file fresh, with better particulars.

5. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**, with the liberty as aforesaid.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

September 29, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No