

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.**

132

2025:PHHC:129726



**CRWP-10194-2025 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 18.09.2025.**

ARJUN AND ANOTHER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: None

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners namely Arjun and Diksha have approached this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking protection of their life and personal liberty from private respondents.

It is averred that both the petitioners are major, aged 23 years and 19 years respectively and they have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents. In support thereof, copy of the Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 15.09.2025 at Jai Maa Bhadhar Kali Ved Pathshala, Sohana, Sector 78, Mohali, as per Hindu rites and rituals. A copy of Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-5) has also been appended. The

petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of them being implicated in a false case.

A representation dated 16.09.2025 (Annexure P-6) has also been submitted by the petitioners to the respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh and they confine their prayer that the representation may be examined.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon with a direction to the respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh, to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in representation dated 16.09.2025 (Annexure P-6) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners, nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh, for requisite compliance.

September 18, 2025.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No