

2025:PHHC:131060



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

131

CWP 28191 of 2025

Date of Decision: 22.09.2025

Kiranjit Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Parvez Chugh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to allow following prayers:-

*“Civil writ petition under articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to pay to the petitioners salary in the minimum regular pay scale with dearness allowance in terms of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **State of Punjab versus Jagjit Singh 2016 SCT 641** and various other judgments on the issue from the date of their initial appointment and to pay the arrears for the said period alongwith interest @ 18% per annum; and
A writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider and regularize the services of the petitioners on the basis of length of service of the*

petitioners and also in view of the various judicial pronouncements; and

A writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allow the Petitioners to continue on the posts of MPHW (Female)/ANMs till the time the posts are not filled up through regular selected candidates.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 24.07.2025 (Annexure P-6) on the respondents, but no decision has been conveyed to her so far. At this stage, he would be satisfied, in case appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 24.07.2025 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and has no serious objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, at this stage.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. At this stage, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice dated 24.07.2025 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioners within a period of four months of date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with rules and instructions.

7. While passing the order, the respondent No.2 shall take into consideration the judgments passed in CWP-17003-2011 titled as

“Rupinder Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab and others” (decided on 17.05.2017) and CWP 6784-2017 titled as ***“Rupinder Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab and others”*** (decided on 27.02.2024).

7. It is expected that respondent No.2 shall pass a speaking and well reasoned order and, in case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled to any relief, all consequential benefits may also be allowed to them alongwith some reasonable rate of interest.

8. Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

22.09.2025
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No